IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 JANET H GARFIELD,

No C 03-4124 VRW

Plaintiff,

CA9 Docket No: 09-15664

v

ORDER

MICHAEL J ASTRUE, Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

(Doc #78, 79) from (1) the court's December 12, 2008 order granting defendant's motion for summary judgment against her on the complaint seeking judicial review of the Social Security Administration's final decision time-barred (Doc #74) and (2) the court's order denying her motion under FRCP 59(e) to alter or amend the December 12 order. Doc #77. Plaintiff did not submit the \$455 filing fee with her notice of appeal.

Plaintiff Janet H Garfield has filed a notice of appeal

After the clerk of this court sent plaintiff a letter requesting payment of the \$455 fee, plaintiff filed a completed FRAP Form 4, the affidavit accompanying motion for permission to appeal in forma pauperis. Doc #83.

Plaintiff has not completed the procedural requirements
for seeking leave to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal. Because
plaintiff did not proceed in forma pauperis when she filed her
action in the district court, she is required by FRAP 24(a)(1) to
bring a motion seeking leave to proceed in forma pauperis on
appeal. The motion must attach an affidavit that not only provides
the information sought by Form 4 but also "claims an entitlement to
redress" and "states the issues that the party intends to present
on appeal." Plaintiff has not brought a motion and her affidavit
does not address the latter two requirements.

Plaintiff may bring a motion meeting the requirements of FRAP 24(a)(1) within twenty-one (21) days of the date of this order. Failure to do so will result in denial of in forma pauperis on appeal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

VAUGHN R WALKER

United States District Chief Judge